



Compass Security

Vulnerability Disclosure Policy


Document Name:	vulnerability_disclosure_policy_english_v4.0.docx
Version:	v4.0
Date of Publication:	October 15th, 2018
Contact:	advisories@compass-security.com
Classification:	PUBLIC

Table of Contents

1	VULNERABILITY DISCLOSURE PROCESS	3
1.1	Full-Disclosure & Ethics.....	3
1.2	Vulnerability Discovery	3
1.3	Requesting CVE-ID	3
1.4	Initial Vendor Notification	3
1.5	Secure Messaging	4
1.6	Vendor Receipt	4
1.7	Validation	4
1.8	Resolution	4
1.9	Advisory Release	4
1.10	Escalation	5
1.11	References	5

1 Vulnerability Disclosure Process

This checklist is based on the RFC draft by Steve Christey and Chris Wysopal (see references in chapter 1.11). Compass follows the vulnerability disclosure process shown graphically below:



1.1 Full-Disclosure & Ethics

Compass Security cooperates with software designers in order to detect vulnerabilities and to eliminate them. The publication of weaknesses without the availability of a suitable correcting measure (patch) is not an aim of Compass. The full disclosure of a weakness is applied by Compass in exceptional cases. See further details in this document.

The protection of customers, particularly those who are in a contractual relationship with Compass, must be guaranteed in any case and Compass will act in the interest of them.

Please read through the designed phases of the Compass vulnerability disclosure procedure below.

1.2 Vulnerability Discovery

This phase is named "Discovery and description of the weakness". It aims at providing the **vendor** with the essential information for the development of correcting measures.

It must be ensured that the weakness is not known yet and cannot be attributed to a faulty configuration. The weakness is documented in the form of a **TXT** or **PDF** file. The facts are described thoroughly with explanations, and if necessary screenshots, so that the identified weakness can be reproduced, even when access to the vulnerable system is no longer available.

1.3 Requesting CVE-ID

Next, a CVE-ID may be requested from MITRE for each weakness, if the vendor has no association with a participating CNA (CVE Numbering Authority). CVE's common identifiers enable data exchange between security products and provide a baseline index point for evaluating coverage of tools and services.

In general, requesting a CVE-ID requires at least the following information:

- Vulnerability type
- Vendor of the product
- Product
- Version

1.4 Initial Vendor Notification

In a first announcement we inform the vendor about the identified vulnerability. Thereby an open and straightforward language should be used. Details of the weakness according to the phase "Vulnerability Disclosure" are provided to the vendor on his request only. Hereby the results must be made anonymous by Compass to avoid any trace back to the Compass customers.

At first Compass tries to identify the security contacts from the website of the vendor. If unsuccessful, the following mail addresses are tested:

- security-alert@vendor
- security@vendor

- support@vendor
- secure@vendor
- info@vendor

If the above mail contacts are not successful, the contact information is searched via the normal contact information of the vendor and finally via Emergency Centres. (e.g. CERT-CC, SANS Incident Handler).

Summarised this means:

- Find security contact via website
- Guess security contact with mail addresses
- Security Contact via Standard Support of the vendor's Website
- Detect security contact via CERT

If no contact can be identified, Compass Security reserves the right to proceed according to chapter 1.10.

1.5 Secure Messaging

In case the contact with the vendor can be established, a safe channel for the exchange of information will be chosen. Generally, this means that either contact forms using HTTPS or PGP and S/MIME encrypted mails are used. If neither are available, the Compass Secure Document Exchange solution (<https://fb.compass-security.com>) will be used.

1.6 Vendor Receipt

Compass Security assumes that a confirmation by the vendor can be expected within one working week after receipt of the "Initial Vendor Notification". This confirmation means that the vendor has received the information and is now considering further steps.

If the reply message is an automatically generated confirmation, a reply of an individual (human being) should be received within 10 working days (2 weeks).

After a maximum of 10 working days (2 weeks) Compass Security expects a clear feedback from the vendor. If this does not happen, we reckon that we cannot contact the vendor adequately and may continue as described in chapter 1.10.

1.7 Validation

In order to design a patch / workaround the vendor is dependent on detailed information enabling the reproduction of the problem. This information (Source Code, etc.), which has already been gathered in the phase "Vulnerability Discovery", is to be provided to the vendor on request.

Status-updates are expected from the vendor every 10 days. If the contact ceases despite further inquiries, Compass Security reserves the right to proceed as per chapter 1.10.

1.8 Resolution

90 days from the Initial Notification in respect of a real weakness (no false-positive or similar) a patch / workaround or a clear statement of the vendor should be available.

If the vendor delays the publication respectively the development of the fix without any obvious reason, Compass Security reserves the right to proceed as per chapter 1.10.

Compass Security expects an acknowledgement in the security advisory provided that the vendor publishes an advisory.

Example of acknowledgements for weaknesses identified by Compass:

- <https://support.ca.com/us/product-content/recommended-reading/security-notices/ca20110426-01-security-notice-for-ca-arcot-webfort-versatile-authentication-server.html>
- <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/security-updates/securitybulletins/2013/ms13-067>
- <https://www.vmware.com/security/advisories/VMSA-2018-0023.html>

Furthermore, Compass Security may publish an advisory independently (see Advisory Release below).

1.9 Advisory Release

It will be negotiated with the vendor when information about the weakness may be published. This date must be acceptable and realistic for both parties.

The vendor can request a time span of max. 30 days between the publication of the patch and the publication of the advisory. Within this period no detailed information must be published. This enables the customers to install the patches.

However, Compass Security reserves the right to publish general information, without PoC (Proof of Concept Exploits), beforehand.

1.10 Escalation

In case of problems in respect of this process, Compass Security will consider the immediate publication of the weakness on the available distribution channels. (Mailing lists, full disclosure, Compass website, etc.)

1.11 References

Reference	Link
RFC Cristey / Wysopal	https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-christey-wysopal-vuln-disclosure-00
CERT	https://www.sei.cmu.edu/about/divisions/cert/index.cfm
Bugtraq	https://www.securityfocus.com/archive/1/description
US Department of Homeland Security	https://www.dhs.gov/xlibrary/assets/vdwgreport.pdf
Marko Laakso	https://www.ee.oulu.fi/research/ouspg/PROTOS_FIRST1999-process
CVE	https://cve.mitre.org/index.html